arXiv:1510.05282v1 [math.QA] 18 Oct 2015

DUAL PAIRS OF QUANTUM MOMENT MAPS AND DOUBLES OF HOPF ALGEBRAS

GUS SCHRADER AND ALEXANDER SHAPIRO

Abstract. For any finite-dimensional Hopf algebra A there exists a natural associative algebra homomorphism $D(A) \to H(A)$ between its Drinfeld double $D(A)$ and its Heisenberg double $H(A)$. We construct this homomorphism using a pair of commuting quantum moment maps, and then use it to provide a homomorphism of certain reflection equation algebras. We also explain how the quantization of the Grothendieck-Springer resolution arises in this context.

1. Introduction

The Grothendieck-Springer simultaneous resolution of a complex simple Lie group G plays a central role in the geometric representation theory. Recall the if $B \subset G$ is a Borel subgroup in G, and $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}$ denote the Lie algebras of G, B respectively, the Grothendieck-Springer resolution is the following map of Poisson varieties

$$G \times_B \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \qquad (g, x)B \longmapsto gxg^{-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

Indeed, the Poisson map (1.1) admits a quantization, yielding an embedding of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ into the ring of global differential operators on the principal affine space G/N.

It was shown in [EL07] that both sides of the multiplicative Grothendieck-Springer resolution

$$G \times_B B \longrightarrow G, \qquad (g, b)B \longmapsto gbg^{-1} \tag{1.2}$$

admit natural, nontrivial Poisson structures such that the resolution map is Poisson. In [SS15], we showed that the resolution (1.2) can be also quantized, this time to yield an embedding of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ into a certain ring of quantum differential operators on G/N.

One remarkable property of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is that it can be realized as a quotient of the Drinfeld double $D(U_q(\mathfrak{b}))$ of a quantum Borel subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{b}) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In this note, we observe that an analog of the quantization of the resolution (1.2) exists for the Drinfeld double $D(A)$ of any finite-dimensional Hopf algebra A. The key to the construction of this quantization is the existence of a pair $\mu_L, \mu_R \colon D(A) \to H(D(A)^{*,op})$ of commuting quantum moment maps from $D(A)$ to the Heisenberg double of its opposite Hopf dual $D(A)^{*,op}$. In this general setting, the role of quantum differential operators on G/N is played by the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of $H(D(A)^{*,op})$

by $\mu_L(A)$, and the resolution map is given by the residual quantum moment map $\mu_R\colon D(A) \to H(D(A)^{*,op})//\mu_L(A)$.

Although a similar construction has appeared before in the context of the quantum Beilinson-Bernstein theorem, we believe that the following results are new. First, we show that the quantum Hamiltonian reduction $H(D(A)^{*,op})//\mu_L(A)$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg double $H(A)$. Recall, that the Heisenberg double $H(A)$ of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra A is isomorphic to the algebra of its endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(A)$. Thus, the natural action of $D(A)$ on A yields a homomorphism $D(A) \to H(A)$. We show that it coincides with the map $\mu_R\colon D(A) \to H(A)$. Second, we provide an explicit Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan type presentation of the map μ_R in terms of universal R-matrices, which leads to a homomorphism between certain reflection equation algebras.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the Poisson geometric constructions of [EL07] in the setting of the double $\mathcal{D}(G)$ of an arbitrary Poisson-Lie group G. It serves as a quasi-classical limit of constructions in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 3, we review some of the notions from the theory of Hopf algebras and their doubles that we use in the sequel. Section 4 contains the construction of the quantum resolution. Section 5 is devoted to the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan presentation of our construction. We conclude in Section 6 with a more detailed discussion of the quantized Grothendieck-Springer resolution.

Acknowledgements

We express deep gratitude to Nicolai Reshetikhin, who let us use some of his unpublished results in Section 5. We also wish to thank David Ben-Zvi, Arkady Berenstein, Alexandru Chirvasitu, Iordan Ganev, and David Jordan for fruitful discussions. The second author was partially supported by the NSF grant DGE-1106400 and by the RFBR grant 14-01-00547.

2. Poisson geometry

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Recall that a Poisson-Lie group is a Lie group G with a Poisson structure such that the multiplication map $G\times G \to G$ is a morphism of Poisson varieties. Let G^* be the (connected, simply-connected) Poisson-Lie dual of G, and $\mathcal{D}(G)$ be the double of G. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d} = Lie(\mathcal{D}(G))$ can be written as $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$. We will say, that there exist local isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}(G) \simeq G \times G^* \simeq G^* \times G.$$

Let us consider a pair of dual bases (x_i) and (x^i) of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ respectively. Then the element $r \in \mathfrak{d} \wedge \mathfrak{d}$ defined by

$$r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (x_i, 0) \wedge (0, x^i)$$

is independent of the choice of bases. Let X^R, X^L denote respectively the right- and left-invariant tensor fields on a Lie group, taking the value

$X^R(e) = X^L(e) = X$ at the identity element of the group. Then the bivectors

$$\pi_\pm = r^R \pm r^L$$

define a pair of Poisson structures on the Lie group $\mathcal{D}(G)$. We abbreviate the resulting Poisson manifolds by $\mathcal{D}_\pm(G)$. In fact, $\mathcal{D}_-(G)$ is a Poisson-Lie group, while $\mathcal{D}_+(G)$ is only a Poisson manifold.

Remark 2.1. It is clear that $\mathcal{D}_-(G)$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_-(G)^*$ as Lie groups. However, this isomorphism does not, in general, extend to the isomorphism of Poisson-Lie groups.

The action of a Poisson-Lie group G on a Poisson variety P is said to be Poisson, if so is the map $G \times P \to P$. Given a Poisson map $P \to G^*$, one can obtain a local Poisson action $G \times P \to P$ using the group-valued moment map. Recall, that the group-valued moment map is defined (see [Lu91]) as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let π be the Poisson bivector field, defining the Poisson structure on the manifold P. A map $\mu\colon P \to G^*$ is said to be a moment map for the Poisson action $G \times P \to P$, if for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$\mu_X = \left\langle \pi, \mu^* X^R \otimes - \right\rangle,$$

where μ_X is the vector field on P generated by the action $\mu_{\exp(tX)}$.

Remark 2.3. A moment map is Poisson, if exists.

The following theorem is well-known (see e.g. [Lu91])

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a Poisson-Lie group, and $\mathcal{D}_\pm(G)$ its double with Poisson bivectors $\pi_\pm$. Then*

1. *actions of $\mathcal{D}_-(G)$ on $\mathcal{D}_+(G)$ by left and right multiplications are Poisson;*
2. *the moment map for the Poisson action of the subgroup $G \subset \mathcal{D}_-(G)$ on $\mathcal{D}_+(G)$ by left (resp. right) multiplication is the natural projection $\mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}(G)/G$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(G) \to G\backslash\mathcal{D}(G)$). Here we use the local isomorphisms $G\backslash\mathcal{D}(G) \simeq G^* \simeq \mathcal{D}(G)/G$.*

Remark 2.5. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the category of Poisson-Lie groups. Consider a Poisson-Lie group G and its connected, simply-connected Poisson-Lie dual G^*. Then the map $G \to G^*$ defines a functor $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}^{op}$. Therefore, any Poisson-Lie subgroup $H \subset G$ induces a map $p\colon G^* \to H^*$. Now consider a Poisson action $G \times P \to P$ with the moment map μ_G. It gives rise to the Poisson action $H \times P \to P$ with the moment map $\mu_H = p \circ \mu_G$.

2.2. **Double of the double construction.** Now, let us start with the Poisson-Lie group $D = \mathcal{D}_-(G)$ and consider its double $\mathcal{D}(D) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G))$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{D} = Lie(\mathcal{D}(D))$ may be written as $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_\Delta \oplus \mathfrak{d}^*$ where

$$\mathfrak{d}_\Delta = \{((x,\alpha),(x,\alpha)) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*\}$$

is the diagonal embedding of $\mathfrak{d}$ into $\mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathfrak{d}$ and

$$\mathfrak{d}^* = \{((y,0),(0,\beta)) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*\}.$$

Using the local isomorphism $\mathcal{D}(D) \simeq D_\Delta \times D^*$ we may write the moment map ν_r for the right Poisson action of $D_\Delta \subset \mathcal{D}_-(D)$ on $\mathcal{D}_+(D)$ as

$$\nu_r \colon \mathcal{D}_+(D) \longrightarrow D^*, \qquad (dg, d\alpha) \longmapsto \alpha^{-1} g$$

for any triple of elements $g \in G$, $\alpha \in G^*$, $d \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G))$. Similarly, using the local isomorphism $\mathcal{D}(D) \simeq D^* \times D_\Delta$ we write the moment map ν_l for the left Poisson action of $D_\Delta \subset \mathcal{D}_-(D)$ on $\mathcal{D}_+(D)$ as

$$\nu_l \colon \mathcal{D}_+(D) \longrightarrow D^*, \qquad (gd, \alpha d) \longmapsto g\alpha^{-1}.$$

2.3. **Hamiltonian reduction.** Consider the Poisson action of the subgroup $D_\Delta \subset \mathcal{D}_-(D)$ on $\mathcal{D}_+(D)$ by left multiplications and the Poisson action of $G \subset D_\Delta \subset \mathcal{D}_-(D)$ on $\mathcal{D}_+(D)$ by right multiplications. Clearly, the two actions commute, because so do the left and right actions of $\mathcal{D}_-(D)$. We illustrate these actions as follows

$$D_\Delta \curvearrowright \mathcal{D}_+(D) \curvearrowleft D_\Delta \supset G.$$

By Remark 2.5, the moment map μ_r for the right action of G can be written as

$$\mu_r \colon \mathcal{D}_+(D) \longrightarrow (G \times D) \backslash \mathcal{D}_+(D) \simeq G^*, \qquad (dg, d\alpha) \longmapsto \alpha.$$

The Hamiltonian reduction of $\mathcal{D}_+(D)$ by the moment map μ_r becomes

$$\mu_r^{-1}(e)/G_\Delta = \{(dg, d) \,|\, d \in D, g \in G\} / G_\Delta.$$

Therefore, we can identify

$$\mu_r^{-1}(e)/G_\Delta \simeq D \times_G G,$$

where $D \times_G G$ denotes the set of G-orbits through $D \times G$ under the right action

$$(D \times G) \times G \longrightarrow D \times G, \qquad ((d,g),h) \longmapsto (dh, h^{-1}gh)$$

with $g, h \in G$ and $d \in D$.

On the other hand, since the left and right D_Δ-actions on $\mathcal{D}(D)$ commute, the variety $D \times_G G$ admits the residual D_Δ-action by left multiplication. The corresponding moment map becomes

$$\mu_l \colon D \times_G G \longrightarrow D, \qquad (q,g)G \longmapsto qgq^{-1},$$

where we use that the target D is locally isomorphic (as a Lie group) to the group D^*, see Remark 2.1.

The following Proposition follows easily from considering Poisson bivectors for the Poisson varieties under consideration.

Proposition 2.6. *Note, that there exists a local Poisson isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_+(G) \longrightarrow D \times_G G, \qquad \alpha g \longmapsto (\alpha, g)G,$$

where $g \in G$, $\alpha \in G^*$ and we identify $\mathcal{D}(G) \simeq G^* \times G$.

Under this identification, the moment map μ_l becomes

$$\mathcal{D}_+(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_-(G), \qquad \alpha g \longmapsto \alpha g \alpha^{-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

3. Reminder on Hopf algebras

To fix our notations, we will recall some standard notions from the theory of Hopf algebras.

3.1. **Basic notations.** Let A be a Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$, with the quadruple (m, Δ, ϵ, S) denoting the multiplication, comultiplication, counit, and antipode of A respectively. We say that algebras A and A^* form a dual pair if there exists a non-degenerate Hopf pairing $\langle -, - \rangle \colon A \otimes A^* \to \mathbb{K}$, that is a non-degenerate pairing satisfying

(1) $\langle ab, x \rangle = \langle a \otimes b, \Delta(x) \rangle$
(2) $\langle a, xy \rangle = \langle \Delta(a), x \otimes y \rangle$
(3) $\langle 1_A, - \rangle = \epsilon_{A^*}$ and $\langle -, 1_{A^*} \rangle = \epsilon_A$
(4) $\langle S(a), x \rangle = \langle a, S(x) \rangle$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $x, y \in A^*$. In fact, condition (4) follows from the other three, see [KS97, Section 1.2.5, Proposition 9]. We will also use the notation A^{op} for the Hopf algebra $(A, m^{op}, \Delta, S^{-1})$, and A^{cop} for the Hopf algebra $(A, m, \Delta^{op}, S^{-1})$.

3.2. **Module algebras.** The category of modules Mod_A over a Hopf algebra A has a monoidal structure determined by the coproduct $\Delta \colon A \to A \otimes A$. We say that M is an *A-module algebra* if it is an algebra object in the monoidal category Mod_A, that is

$$a \cdot 1_M = \epsilon(a) 1_M \qquad \text{and} \qquad a \cdot (mn) = (a_1 \cdot m)(a_2 \cdot n)$$

for any $a \in A$ and $m, n \in M$.

A Hopf algebra A can be naturally regarded as a module algebra over itself using the *adjoint action*

$$\mathrm{ad} \colon A \otimes A \longrightarrow A, \qquad a \otimes b \longmapsto a \triangleright b := a_1 b S a_2.$$

The dual Hopf algebra A^* can also be regarded as a module algebra over A using the *left coregular action*

$$\mathrm{coreg} \colon A \otimes A^* \longrightarrow A^*, \qquad a \otimes x \longmapsto a \rightharpoonup x := \langle a, x_2 \rangle x_1.$$

There is also a *right coregular action* of A^{op} on A^*, defined by

$$a \otimes x \longmapsto x \leftharpoonup a := \langle a, x_1 \rangle x_2.$$

3.3. **The Drinfeld double.** If A, A^* form a dual pair of algebras, then there exists a unique Hopf algebra $D(A)$ called the *Drinfeld double* of A, with the following properties:

(1) as a coalgebra, $D(A) \simeq (A^*)^{cop} \otimes A$;
(2) the maps $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$ and $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$ are embeddings of Hopf algebras;

(3) let (a_i) and (x^i) be dual bases for A and A^* respectively. Then the canonical element

$$R = \sum_i (1 \otimes a_i) \otimes (x^i \otimes 1) \in D(A)^{\otimes 2},$$

called the universal R-matrix of the Drinfeld double, satisfies

$$R\Delta_D(d) = \Delta_D^{op}(d)R$$

for all $d \in D(A)$.

From the above properties one derives the following explicit formula for the multiplication in $D(A)$:

$$(x \otimes a)(y \otimes b) = \langle a_1, y_3 \rangle \langle a_3, S^{-1}y_1 \rangle xy_2 \otimes a_2 b. \tag{3.1}$$

It also follows from the definition of the double, that the R-matrix is invertible, with inverse

$$R^{-1} = (S_D \otimes \mathrm{id})(R)$$

and that the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} \in D(A)^{\otimes 3}$$

holds in the triple tensor product $D(A)^{\otimes 3}$.

Proposition 3.1. *If A is a Hopf algebra and $D(A)$ its Drinfeld double, the following formula equips A with the structure of a $D(A)$-module algebra:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes a) \cdot b &= a_1 b S a_2 \\ (x \otimes 1) \cdot b &= b \leftharpoonup S^{-1}x \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In the action (3.2), the Hopf subalgebra $A \subset D(A)$ acts adjointly on A, while the Hopf subalgebra $(A^*)^{cop} \subset D(A)$ acts by its right coregular action.

3.4. **The dual of the Drinfeld double.** In addition to the Drinfeld double, we will also make use of its Hopf dual $T(A) = D(A)^*$. As an algebra, $T(A) \simeq A^{op} \otimes A^*$. The formula for its comultiplication can be found by dualizing (3.1) and reads

$$\Delta_T(a \otimes x) = \left(a_1 \otimes x^r x_1 x^t\right) \otimes \left(S^{-1}a_t a_2 a_r \otimes x_2\right) \in T(A)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Similarly, the antipode in $T(A)$ can be written as

$$S_T(a \otimes x) = a_r S^{-1}(a) S^{-1}(a_t) \otimes x^t S(x) x^r.$$

3.5. **The Heisenberg double.** Given a Hopf algebra A and its module algebra M, one defines their *smash-product* $M\#A$ as an associative algebra on the vector space $M \otimes A$ with the multiplication given by

$$(m\#x)(n\#y) = m(x_1 \cdot n)\#y_2 b$$

for any elements $x, y \in A$ and $m, n \in M$. Recall [Lu94], that the *Heisenberg double* $H(A)$ of an associative algebra A is the smash product $H(A) = A\#A^*$

with respect to the coregular action of A^* on A. Thus, the multiplication in $H(A)$ is determined by the formula

$$(a\#x)(b\#y) = a(x_1 \rightharpoonup b)\#x_2y = \langle x_1, b_2\rangle\, ab_1\#x_2y$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $x, y \in A^*$. Note that one has the following inclusions of algebras

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow H(A), \qquad a \mapsto a\#1, \\ A^* &\longrightarrow H(A), \qquad x \mapsto 1\#x. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the Heisenberg double $H(A)$ acts on A via

$$(a\#x) \cdot_L (b) = a(x \rightharpoonup b) = \langle x, b_2\rangle\, ab_1 \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, $H(A)$ also acts on A via

$$(a\#x) \cdot_R (b) = (b \leftharpoonup S^{-1}x)Sa = \langle x, Sb_1\rangle\, b_2S^{-1}a \tag{3.4}$$

The Heisenberg double $H(A)$ has the following well-known properties:

Proposition 3.2. [STS92] *The antipode S_T of $T(A)$, when regarded as an operator $\iota\colon H(A) \to H(A)$ via*

$$\iota\colon H(A) \longrightarrow H(A), \qquad a \otimes x \longmapsto a_rS^{-1}(a)S^{-1}(a_t) \otimes x^tS(x)x^r, \tag{3.5}$$

defines an algebra automorphism of $H(A)$.

Note that the automorphism ι intertwines the two actions 3.3, 3.4 of $H(A)$ on A.

Corollary 3.3. *One has the following inclusions of algebras*

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow H(A), \qquad a \mapsto \iota(a\#1) = a_rS^{-1}(a)S^{-1}(a_t) \otimes x^tx^r, \\ A^* &\longrightarrow H(A), \qquad x \mapsto \iota(1\#x) = a_rS^{-1}(a_t) \otimes x^tS(x)x^r. \end{aligned}$$

Since the actions $(A\#1, \cdot_L)$, $(A\#1, \cdot_R)$ commute, we have

Proposition 3.4. [STS92] *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes A &\longrightarrow H(A), \qquad a \otimes b \longmapsto (a\#1)\iota(b\#1), \\ A^* \otimes A^* &\longrightarrow H(A), \qquad x \otimes y \longmapsto (x\#1)\iota(1\#y) \end{aligned}$$

are homomorphisms of associative algebras.

3.6. **Quantum Hamiltonian reduction.** Let us briefly recall the notion of quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Suppose that A is a Hopf algebra, V is an associative algebra, $\mu\colon A \to V$ is a homomorphism of associative algebras, and I is a 2-sided ideal in A preserved by the adjoint action of A. Then, by the ad-invariance of I, the action of A on V defined by the formula

$$a \circ v = \mu(a_1)v\mu(Sa_2)$$

descends to an action of A on the V-module $V/\mu(I)$, where we abuse notation and write $\mu(I)$ for the left ideal in V generated by $\mu(I)$. The *quantum Hamiltonian reduction* $V//\mu(A)$ of V by the *quantum moment map* $\mu\colon A \to V$ at the ideal I is defined as the set of A-invariants

$$\begin{aligned} V//\mu(A) :=& (V/V\mu(I))^A \\ =& \{a \in V/V\mu(I) \mid a \circ v = \epsilon(a)v \quad \text{for all} \quad a \in A\} \end{aligned}$$

One checks that $V//\mu(A)$ inherits a well-defined associative algebra structure from that of V, such that $V//\mu(A)$ is an A-module algebra.

4. Construction of the quantum resolution

4.1. **The double of a double.** Suppose that A is a Hopf algebra, and let $D(A), T(A)$, and $H(A)$ be its Drinfeld double, dual to the Drinfeld double, and the Heisenberg double respectively. Consider the Heisenberg double

$$H(T(A)^{op}) = T(A)^{op} \# D(A)^{cop},$$

of the algebra $T(A)^{op}$. One has an algebra embedding

$$\mu_L\colon D(A) \longrightarrow H(T(A)^{op}), \qquad u \mapsto 1\#u \in H(T(A)^{op})$$

which may be regarded as the quantum moment map for the following $D(A)$-module algebra structure on $H(T(A)^{op})$:

$$u \circ_L (\phi \# v) = (u_3 \rightharpoonup \phi) \# u_2 v S^{-1}_{D(A)} u_1. \tag{4.1}$$

As in Corollary 3.3, there exists another algebra embedding defined by

$$\mu_R\colon D(A) \longrightarrow H(T(A)^{op}), \qquad u \mapsto \iota^{-1}(1\#u). \tag{4.2}$$

It generates the following $D(A)$-module algebra structure on $H(T(A)^{op})$:

$$u \circ_R (\phi \# v) = (\phi \leftharpoonup S^{-1}_{D(A)} u) \# v \tag{4.3}$$

By Proposition 3.4, the subalgebras $\mu_L(D(A))$ and $\mu_R(D(A))$ commute with each other in $H(T(A)^{op})$. This forces the actions (4.1) and (4.3) to commute as well.

4.2. **Dual pairs of quantum moment maps.** We shall now restrict the action (4.1) to the Hopf subalgebra $A \subset D(A)$, and consider the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of $H(T(A)^{op})$ at the augmentation ideal $I_A = \ker(\epsilon_A)$ of A. We denote the algebra obtained as a result of the quantum Hamiltonian reduction by $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$.

We also have the moment map $\mu_R\colon D(A) \to H(T(A)^{op})$ given in (4.2), and the action (4.3) of $D(A)$ on $H(T(A)^{op})$ that it defines.

Proposition 4.1. *The action (4.3) of $D(A)$ on $H(T(A)^{op})$ descends to a well-defined action*

$$D(A) \times H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A) \longrightarrow H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A) \tag{4.4}$$

In turn, the map μ_R descends to a well-defined homomorphism of $D(A)$-module algebras

$$\mu_R\colon D(A) \longrightarrow H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$$

which is a moment map for the action (4.4)*.*

Proof. The Proposition is a simple consequence of the fact that the subalgebras $\mu_L(D(A))$ and $\mu_R(D(A))$ commute with one another. Indeed, this commutativity implies that for all $a \in A$, $u \in D(A)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ_L (\mu_R(u) + \mu_L(I_A)) &= a_1\mu_R(u)Sa_2 + \mu_L(I_A) \\ &= \mu_R(u)a_1Sa_2 + \mu_L(I_A) \\ &= \epsilon(a)\,(\mu_R(u) + \mu_L(I_A)) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\mu_R(u) + \mu_L(I_A) \in \big(H(T(A)^{op})/\mu_L(I_A)\big)^A =: H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A).$$

It follows from the definition of the algebra structure of the quantum Hamiltonian reduction $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$ that $\mu_R\colon D(A) \to H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$ is a homomorphism of algebras. Regarding this homomorphism as a quantum moment map, we obtain an action of $D(A)$ on $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$ which by construction descends from (4.3), and such that $\mu_R\colon D(A) \to H(T(A)^{op})$ is a morphism of $D(A)$-module algebras. □

4.3. $H(A)$ **from quantum Hamiltonian reduction.** We now examine the algebra structure of the Hamiltonian reduction $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$ in more detail.

Proposition 4.2. *There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\varphi : H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A) \longrightarrow H(A) \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Let us begin by making explicit the structure of the Hamiltonian reduction $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$. Firstly, note that we can identify the quotient $H(T(A)^{op})/I_A$ with the vector space $T(A)^{op} \otimes A^*$. It is easy to check that induced action of A on $T(A)^{op} \otimes A^*$ is then given by

$$a \circ_L \big((b \otimes y) \otimes x\big) = (b \otimes a_2 \rightharpoonup y) \otimes \mathrm{ad}^*_{a_1}(x)$$

where

$$\mathrm{ad}^*_a(x) = \langle a_1, x_3\rangle\langle S^{-1}a_2, x_1\rangle x_2.$$

Hence the algebra $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$ of A-invariants in $H(T(A)^{op})/I_A$ may be identified with $H(A) = A\#A^*$, as a vector space, under the map

$$\varphi\colon H(A) \longrightarrow H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A), \qquad a\#x \longmapsto (a \otimes x_1Sx_3) \otimes x_2. \tag{4.6}$$

Finally, we claim that the map (4.6) is in fact an isomorphism of algebras. Indeed, in $H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)$, one computes

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a\#x)\varphi(b\#y) &= ((a\otimes x_1Sx_3)\otimes x_2)\,((b\otimes y_1Sy_3)\otimes y_2)\\ &= \langle x_2, S^{-1}a_tb_2a_r\rangle(ab_1\otimes x^ry_1Sy_3x^tx_1Sx_3)\otimes x_3y_2\\ &= \langle x_3, b_2\rangle(ab_1\otimes x_4y_1Sy_3S^{-1}x_2x_1Sx_6)\otimes x_5y_2\\ &= \langle x_1, b_2\rangle(ab_1\otimes x_2y_1Sy_3Sx_4)\otimes x_3y_2\\ &= \varphi(\langle x_1, b_2\rangle ab_1\otimes x_2y)\\ &= \varphi\left((a\#x)(b\#y)\right)\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.3. *Under the isomorphism φ defined in* (4.5)*, the moment map $\mu_R\colon D(A)\to H(T(A)^{op})//\mu_L(A)\simeq H(A)$ takes the form*

$$\mu_R\colon D(A)\longrightarrow H(A),\qquad by\longmapsto b_1a_rSb_2a_t\#S^{-1}x^tS^{-1}yx^r. \tag{4.7}$$

Using the homomorphism μ_R, one can pull back the defining representation (3.3) of $H(A)$ on A to obtain a representation of $D(A)$. A straightforward computation establishes

Proposition 4.4. *The pullback under μ_R of the action* (3.3) *coincides with the representation* (3.2) *of $D(A)$ on A.*

Remark 4.5. In [Lu96], the formula (4.7) is derived from the action (3.2) of $D(A)$, together with the fact that $H(A)\simeq \mathrm{End}(A)$ as algebras.

5. R-matrix formalism

In this section we rewrite the homomorphism (4.7) in terms of canonical elements of the algebras $D(A)$ and $T(A)$. As before, let

$$R=R_{12}=\sum_i a_i\otimes x^i\in D(A)\otimes D(A)$$

be the universal R-matrix of $D(A)$. In what follows we make use of elements

$$R_{21}=\sum_i x^i\otimes a_i$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}=R_{21}R_{12}\in D(A)\otimes D(A).$$

Recall [STS92] that the element $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the *reflection equation*

$$\mathcal{L}_1R_{12}\mathcal{L}_2R_{21}=R_{12}\mathcal{L}_2R_{21}\mathcal{L}_1\in D(A)^{\otimes 3} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1=R_{31}R_{13}$, $\mathcal{L}_2=R_{32}R_{23}$. Let us also introduce canonical elements $\Theta,\Omega\in D(A)\otimes H(A)$ defined by

$$\Theta=\sum_i a_i\otimes x_i\qquad\text{and}\qquad \Omega=\sum_i x^i\otimes a_i.$$

These elements satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}\Theta_1\Theta_2 &= \Theta_2\Theta_1 R_{12} \\ R_{12}\Omega_1\Omega_2 &= \Omega_2\Omega_1 R_{12} \\ R_{12}\Theta_1\Omega_2^{-1} &= \Omega_2^{-1}\Theta_1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

If ι is the automorphism of $H(A)$ defined by (3.5), we write

$$\widetilde{\Theta} = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\iota)(\Theta) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{\Omega} = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\iota)(\Omega).$$

The following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mu_R\colon D(A) \to H(A)$ be the homomorphism defined by* (4.7)*. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id}\otimes\mu_R)(R_{12}) &= \widetilde{\Theta}, \\ (\mathrm{id}\otimes\mu_R)(R_{21}) &= \Omega\widetilde{\Omega}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mu_R)(\mathcal{L}) = \Omega\widetilde{\Omega}\widetilde{\Theta}.$$

Recall [KS97, Section 8.1.3, Proposition 5] that the element $u \in D(A)$ defined by

$$u = Sa_iSx^i \in D(A)$$

satisfies

$$udu^{-1} = S_D^2(d) \qquad \text{for all} \qquad d \in D(A).$$

Proposition 5.2. *The following identity holds in $D(A)\otimes H(A)$*

$$\Theta^{-1}\Omega^{-1} = u_1\widetilde{\Omega}\widetilde{\Theta},$$

where $u_1 = u\otimes 1 \in D(A)\otimes H(A)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{-1}\Omega^{-1} &= \sum Sa_iSx^j \otimes x^i a_j \\ &= \sum S(a_ka_t)S(x^rx^k)\otimes(a_r\#x^t) \\ &= \sum a_tux^r\otimes(Sa_r\#Sx^t) \\ &= u_1\sum a_tx^r\otimes(Sa_r\#S^{-1}x^t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula

$$ax = \langle a_{(1)}, x_{(3)}\rangle\langle S^{-1}a_{(3)}, x_{(1)}\rangle x_{(2)}\otimes a_{(2)}$$

for the multiplication in the Drinfeld double $D(A)$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{-1}\Omega^{-1} &= u_1\sum a_tx^r\otimes(Sa_r\#S^{-1}x^t) \\ &= u_1\sum x^pa_q\otimes\left(a_\alpha Sa_qS^{-1}a_\beta\#x^\beta S^{-1}x^px^\alpha\right) \\ &= u_1\widetilde{\Omega}\widetilde{\Theta} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.3. *One has*

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mu_R)\,(\mathcal{L}) = \Omega u_1^{-1}\Theta\Omega^{-1}. \tag{5.3}$$

Remark 5.4. Since the first tensor factor in $\mathcal{L}$ runs over the basis of $D(A)$, the homomorphism μ_R is completely defined by the formula (5.3). The latter can be thought of as a quantization of the map (2.1), where u_1 is a quantum correction, invisible on the level of Poisson geometry.

Corollary 5.5. *The element*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \Omega u_1^{-1}\Theta\Omega^{-1} \in D(A)\otimes H(A) \tag{5.4}$$

provides a solution to the reflection equation (5.1).

Remark 5.6. In fact, one can check using the relations (5.2) that the element

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}' = \Omega\Theta\Omega^{-1} \in D(A)\otimes H(A)$$

obtained from (5.4) by omitting u_1^{-1}, also satisfies the reflection equation (5.1). In general, however, the linear map $D(A)\to H(A)$ defined by $\mathcal{L}\mapsto\widehat{\mathcal{L}}'$ will fail to be a homomorphism of algebras. On the other hand, suppose that $R\in\mathrm{End}(V\otimes V)$ is a scalar solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. Then, following Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan, one can define a *reflection equation algebra* $\mathcal{A}$ as the algebra generated by entries of $\mathcal{L}\in\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathrm{End}(V)$, subject to the defining relations (5.1). Similarly, one can define an algebra $\mathcal{H}$ generated by entries of the elements $\Theta,\Omega\in\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathrm{End}(V)$ subject to the relations (5.2). Then we get a well-defined homomorphism of algebras

$$\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H},\qquad \mathcal{L}\longmapsto\Omega\Theta\Omega^{-1}.$$

6. Quantized Grothendieck-Springer resolution

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple Lie algebra, and denote by $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ the quantized universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, see [Dri86, CP94]. Recall that $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ may be regarded as the quantized algebra of functions on a formal neighborhood of the identity element $e\in G^*$, where G is a simple Lie group endowed with its standard Poisson structure. Let us apply our constructions to the case $A=U_\hbar(\mathfrak{b})$, where $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{b})$ is the quantum Borel subalgebra in $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$. Then there is an isomorphism of algebras $D(A)\simeq U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})\otimes U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{g}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, see [Dri86]. The restriction of the homomorphism (4.7) to $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})\subset D(A)$ defines a map of algebras $\Phi\colon U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})\to H(A)$. In [SS15], it was shown (in the setting of the rational form $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$) that Φ is injective, and that its image is contained in a certain subalgebra $H(A)^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h})$-invariants.

In the above setup, the semiclassical limit of the map Φ is closely related to the well-known Grothendieck-Springer resolution

$$G\times_B B\longrightarrow G,\qquad (g,b)B\longmapsto gbg^{-1}$$

where G is a complex simple Lie group, and $B\subset G$ is a Borel subgroup. More precisely, the algebra $H(A)^{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be regarded as the quantized algebra

of functions on a formal neighborhood of $(e,e)B \in G \times_B B$. The Poisson geometric structure is exactly the one described in [EL07].

References

[CP94] V. Chari, A. Pressley. *A guide to quantum groups.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[Dri86] V. Drinfeld. *Quantum groups.* Proceedings of ICM **1**, 1986, 798-820.

[EL07] S. Evens, J.-H. Lu. *Poisson geometry of the Grothendieck-Springer resolution of a complex semisimple group.* Moscow Mathematical Journal **7**(4), 2007, 613-642.

[KS97] A. Klymik, K. Schmudgen. *Quantum Groups and Their Representations.* Springer, 1997.

[Lu91] J.-H. Lu. *Momentum mappings and reduction of Poisson actions.* Symplectic geometry, groupoids, and integrable systems. Springer, 1991, 209-226.

[Lu94] J.-H. Lu. *On the Drinfeld double and the Heisenberg double of a Hopf algebra.* Duke Mathematical Journal, **74**(3), 1994, 763-776.

[Lu96] J.-H. Lu. *Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids.* International Journal of Mathematics, **7**(1), 1996, 47-70.

[SS15] G. Schrader, A. Shapiro. *Quantum groups, quantum tori, and the Grothendieck-Springer resolution.* **arXiv:1508.07057**

[STS92] M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky. *The quantum duality principle and the twisted quantum double.* Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **93**(2), 1992, 1292-1307.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA